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## About Houlihan Lokey

## HLI

LISTED
Houlihan Lokey is the trusted advisor to more top decisionmakers than any other independent global investment bank. NYSE

## Corporate Finance

| 2019 M\&A Advisory Rankings All U.S. Transactions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advisor | Deals |
| 1 | Houlihan Lokey | 184 |
| 2 | Goldman Sachs \& Co | 167 |
| 3 | JP Morgan | 141 |
| 4 | Morgan Stanley | 122 |
|  | Evercore Partners | 112 |
| Source: Refinitiv (formerly known as Thomson Reuters) |  |  |

No. 1 U.S. M\&A Advisor
Top 10 Global M\&A Advisor
Leading Capital Markets Advisor

## Financial Restructuring

| 2019 Global Distressed Debt \& Bankruptcy Restructuring Rankings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advisor | Deals |
| 1 | Houlihan Lokey | 76 |
| 2 | PJT Partners Inc | 43 |
| 3 | Moelis \& Co | 36 |
|  | Lazard | 29 |
| 5 | AlixPartners | 19 |
| Source: Refinitiv (formery knoun as Thomson Reuters) |  |  |

No. 1 Global Restructuring Advisor $1,000+$ Transactions Completed
Valued at More Than $\$ 2.5$ Trillion
Collectively

Financial and Valuation Advisory

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ to 2019 Global M\&A Fairness <br> Advisory Rankings <br> Advisor |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Houlihan Lokey | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | JP Morgan | 929 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Duff \& Phelps | 734 |
| 4 | Morgan Stanley | 621 |
| 5 | Bank of America Merrill Lynch | 612 |
| Refinitiv (formery knoun as Thomson Reuters). Announced |  |  |
| orcompleted transactions. |  |  |

No. 1 Global M\&A Fairness Opinion
Advisor Over the Past 20 Years
1,000+ Annual Valuation
Engagements

## Our product knowledge, industry expertise, and global reach deliver superior results.

## Product Expertise

Mergers and Acquisitions
Capital Markets
Financial Restructuring

Financial and Valuation Advisory

## Dedicated Industry Groups

Business Services
Consumer, Food, and Retail
Data and Analytics
Energy
Financial Institutions

Healthcare
Industrials

Real Estate, Lodging, and Leisure
Technology, Media, and Telecom

## Financial Sponsors

Active Dialogue With a Diverse Group of More Than 1,000
Sponsors

Private Equity Firms

Hedge Funds

Capital Alliances

## HOULIHAN LOKEY

## Our clients benefit from our local presence and global reach.



Europe and Middle East


Asia-Pacific
Beifing Sydney
Hong Kong Tokyo
Singapore

## No. 1 Position Across Product Categories

## 난 <br> HOULIHAN LOKEY

## Corporate Finance

Mergers and Acquisitions

- Sellside and Buyside Transactions
- Leveraged Transactions
- Minority Equity Transactions
- Activist Shareholder Advisory
- Takeover Defense


## Capital Markets

- Debt and Equity Private Placements
- Public Equity Offerings
- PIPEs Financings
- Liabilities Management
- Special Situations Advisory

Illiquid Financial Assets

Financial and Valuation Advisory

- Corporate Valuation Advisory Services
- Real Estate Valuation and Advisory Services
- Derivatives Valuation and Advisory Services
- Due Diligence Services
- Valuation Opinions
- Portfolio Valuation and Fund Advisory
- Fairness Opinions
- Solvency Opinions
- Dispute Resolution and Financial Expert Opinions


## Financial Restructuring

- Out-of-Court Transactions
- Restructuring Debt and Equity
- Chapter 11 Planning
- Bulk Sales of Assets
- Sales of Performing and Nonperforming Loans
- Corporate Viability Assessment
- Debtor-in-Possession (DIP) Financing
- Exchange Offers
- Plans of Reorganization
- Distressed M\&A

No. 1 M\&A Advisor for All U.S. Transactions in 2019

No. 1 Global M\&A
Fairness Opinion Advisor Over the Past 20 Years

No. 1 Global Investment Banking Restructuring Advisor

## Financial and Valuation Advisory Overview

## Transaction Opinions

Fairness Opinions

- Public Company
- Special Committee
- Roll-Up/Aggregate
- Indenture
- Equity Allocation

Solvency Opinions

- Leveraged Transactions
- Corporate Spinoffs
- Dividend Recapitalizations
- Retrospective Solvency Analyses

Valuation Opinions

- Distressed Valuation Opinions
- Estate and Gift Tax Valuation Opinions
- ERISA and ESOP Opinions
- Corporate Planning


## Transaction Advisory Services

Due Diligence Services

- Buyer Services

Seller Services

- Lender Services

Corporate Valuation Advisory Services

- Purchase Price Allocation
- Impairment of Goodwill and Other Assets
- Tangible Asset Valuation
- Tax Valuation
- Equity-Based Compensation
- Fresh-Start Accounting


Accounting and Financial
Reporting Advisory

- Purchase Accounting
- Carve-Out Financial Information
- SEC Reporting
- Initial Public Offerings
- Fresh-Start Accounting
- Complex Accounting


## Portfolio Valuation and Advisory Services

Fair Value Reporting

- Illiquid Securities
- Leveraged Loans
- PIPEs Investments
- Real Estate Investments

Derivatives Valuation and
Risk Management

- Structured Products
- Complex Derivative Instruments


## Real Estate Valuation and Advisory Services

Valuations and Opinions

- Fairness Opinions
- Solvency Opinions
- Corporate Planning
- Expert Witness

Corporate Valuation Advisory Services

- Portfolio Valuations
- Purchase Price Allocations
- Tax Valuations


## Financial Consulting

Dispute Resolution and Financial Expert Opinions

- Case Assessment
- Financial Modeling
- Damage Theory Formulation
- Settlement Assistance
- Expert Witness Testimony


## Executive Summary

## Introduction

Dear All,
Thank you very much for taking time to read Houlihan Lokey's 2018 Purchase Price Allocation Study (2018 Study). Houlihan Lokey completed its 2018 Study by reviewing public filings for 2,428 completed transactions in 2018 and summarizing the results for certain transactions by transaction size and industry. The 2018 Study also provides statistics, other annual data, and a comparison to certain 2017 results (2017 Study) and 2016 results (2016 Study). Key observations from the 2018 Study include the following:

- The number of transactions that meet our search criteria increased $92 \%$ year over year to 2,428 because we incorporated data from multiple providers (S\&P Capital IQ, Bloomberg, and Dealogic) for the 2018 Study, as compared to a single provider (S\&P Capital IQ) for the 2017 Study.

■ According to the 2018 Study, technology was the most active sector for M\&A activities, although the aerospace, defense, and government sector had the largest average transaction size.

■ Identifiable intangible assets and goodwill as percentages of purchase consideration remained relatively stable year over year, and the technology sector had the highest percentage of goodwill.
■ Contingent consideration (CC) remained an important part of many transactions. Approximately 19\% of the transactions in the 2018 Study had CC in the purchase consideration, which is slightly down from $21 \%$ in the 2017 Study.

- With the exception of consumer, food, and retail transactions, in which trademarks and trade names are considered a key asset, the main identifiable intangible assets across all industries are technology- and customer-related.

I hope you will find the 2018 Study helpful. Thank you again for reading this. We are excited to hear your comments and feedback.


Dimitri Drone
Managing Director, Head of Corporate Valuation Advisory Services

## Introduction (cont.)

For more information regarding this study, please contact your Houlihan Lokey representative or one of the following individuals.


Michael DeLuke
Managing Director
214.220.8487

MDeLuke@HL.com


Dimitri Drone
Managing Director
646.259.7480

DDrone@HL.com


Mike Giffin
Managing Director
214.220.8485

MGiffin@HL.com


Karen Miles
Managing Director
310.788.5243

KMiles@HL.com


Tomasz Stefanowski
Managing Director
212.497.4272

TStefanowski@HL.com


Michael De Simone Managing Director 404.495.7033

MDeSimone@HL.com

## Office Locations

| Atlanta | Chicago | Dallas | Los Angeles | New York | San Francisco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3455 Peachtree Rd. NE | 111 South Wacker Dr. | 100 Crescent Ct. | 10250 Constellation Blvd. | 245 Park Ave. | Citigroup Center |
| Suite 2000 | 37th Floor | Suite 900 | 5th Floor | 20th Floor | One Sansome St. |
| Atlanta, GA 30326 | Chicago, IL 60606 | Dallas, TX 75201 | Los Angeles, CA 90067 | New York, NY 10167 | Suite 1700 |
| 404.495 .7033 | 312.456 .4700 | 214.220 .8485 | 310.788 .5243 | 212.497 .4272 | San Francisco, CA 94104 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 415.974 .5888 |

## Screening Criteria and Methodology

The universe of transactions initially considered in the 2018 Study was obtained using the following search criteria:

- Combined transactions available in S\&P Capital IQ, Bloomberg, and Dealogic. Removed duplicate transactions.
- Transaction closed in 2018.
- Acquirer was:
- An SEC registrant filing 10-K, 10-Q, 20-F, or 40-F in 2018 (S\&P Capital IQ);
- Publicly listed in the U.S., excluding foreign OTC issuers (Bloomberg); and
- A U.S. public company (Dealogic).
- We excluded transactions completed by investment firms.
- We excluded transactions with multiple acquirers.
- Transaction value was disclosed.

The initial sample consisted of 2,428 transactions. We reviewed public filings for each company in the initial sample with the objective of finding detailed disclosures regarding purchase consideration (PC), identifiable intangible asset fair values, and goodwill. Sufficient disclosures were provided for 715 transactions, which represented approximately $29 \%$ of the initial sample.

These 715 transactions formed the basis of the 2018 Study.


## Screening Criteria and Methodology (cont.)

The primary objective of the 2018 Study was to review the amount of PC allocated to tangible assets, identifiable intangible assets, and goodwill. In addition, the 2018 Study marks the sixth year that CC recorded by acquirers-a component of PC per GAAP—has been analyzed. PC is defined as the sum of the purchase price paid and liabilities assumed in connection with a business combination. PC is equivalent to the fair value of the total assets of the target.


For the 2018 Study, identifiable intangible assets were classified into five categories:

- Developed technology (including patents).
- In-process research and development (IPR\&D).
- Customer-related assets (including backlog, customer contracts, and customer relationships).
- Trademarks and trade names (including domain names).
- Other (including noncompete agreements, licenses, contracts, and core deposits, among others).


## Screening Criteria and Methodology (cont.)

In addition to summarizing the allocation data according to intangible asset classes, we also conducted studies based on industry, deal size (as defined by PC), and lifing characteristics.

- With respect to industry, we classified the 2018 transactions into nine sectors:
- Aerospace, defense, and government (ADG)
- Consumer, food, and retail (CFR)
- Energy
- Financial institutions
- Healthcare
- Industrials
- Infrastructure services and materials (ISM)
- Technology
- Telecom
- With respect to deal size, we stratified the allocation results across seven categories (PC; \$ in millions):
- More than \$5,000
- \$1,000-\$5,000
- \$500-\$1,000
- \$250-\$500
- \$100-\$250
- \$50-\$100
- Less than \$50
- With respect to lifing characteristics, we classified the summarized data for intangibles as either definite- or indefinite-lived assets.
- It should be noted that the indefinite-lived assets exclude IPR\&D, which must be recorded as such per ASC 805. IPR\&D was considered a definite-lived asset in the 2018 Study.
- Per ASC 805, IPR\&D is not amortized, as it is not yet ready for use. It is tested annually for impairment (or when there are indicators of impairment) until the asset is either abandoned or put to use in the operations as a product, at which time the acquirer estimates the useful life of the asset.


## Allocation of Intangible Assets vs. Goodwill

## Summary Allocation Percentages 2018 Study

- The median allocation of PC to intangible assets in 2018 and 2017 was $33 \%$ and $35 \%$, respectively.
- The median allocation of PC to goodwill in 2018 and 2017 was $41 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively.
- Industries with intangible assets and goodwill percentage above the mean and median include healthcare and technology.

Summary Allocation Percentages by Industry
2018 vs. 2017

|  | Count ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Median Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Purchase Consideration |  |  | Intangible Assets, \% of PC |  |  | Goodwill, \% of PC |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | \% Chg. | 2018 | 2017 | BPS Chg. | 2018 | 2017 | BPS Chg. |
| All Industries | 715 | 404 | 97 | 95 | 2\% | 33\% | 35\% | -2\% | 41\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Aerospace, Defense, and Government | 17 | 21 | 872 | 199 | 338\% | 26\% | 37\% | -11\% | 44\% | 42\% | 2\% |
| Consumer, Food, and Retail | 166 | 86 | 105 | 78 | 33\% | 32\% | 37\% | -5\% | 37\% | 33\% | 4\% |
| Energy | 24 | 19 | 90 | 345 | -74\% | 22\% | 12\% | 11\% | 25\% | 30\% | -5\% |
| Financial Institutions | 44 | 21 | 123 | 716 | -83\% | 28\% | 17\% | 10\% | 35\% | 11\% | 24\% |
| Healthcare | 119 | 62 | 112 | 77 | 45\% | 46\% | 39\% | 6\% | 42\% | 41\% | 0\% |
| Industrials | 100 | 54 | 84 | 82 | 3\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 39\% | 34\% | 6\% |
| Infrastructure Services and Materials | 24 | 18 | 342 | 234 | 46\% | 17\% | 36\% | -20\% | 25\% | 37\% | -12\% |
| Technology | 196 | 117 | 59 | 75 | -21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 0\% | 51\% | 49\% | 2\% |
| Telecom | 25 | 6 | 137 | 147 | -7\% | 32\% | 28\% | 4\% | 34\% | 40\% | -6\% |

[^0]
## Contingent Consideration

- Per ASC 805, CC is classified as an asset, liability, or equity and measured at fair value on the acquisition date. ${ }^{(1)}$ CC is included in PC.
- Approximately 19\% of the transactions in the 2018 Study had CC in the purchase price, down from 21\% of transactions in the 2017 Study.
- CC represented $10 \%$ and $15 \%$ of PC when measured on the median and mean, respectively. CC represented $14 \%$ and $18 \%$ of PC when measured on the median and mean, respectively, in the 2017 Study.


## CC Amount and as Percentage of Transaction Count



## CC as Percentage of Purchase Consideration



- Transaction-related contingent compensation and retention bonuses are not included in PC. These employee compensation expense items are generally accounted for under ASC 718.
- Over the past few years, we have observed increased scrutiny from auditors when reviewing the valuation of CC. Specifically, as CC terms have become more complex, auditors have generally shifted from accepting scenario-based valuation methodologies to preferring simulation-based valuation methodologies (i.e., Monte Carlo simulations).

2018 Observations and Results

## Transaction Volume

- Final results indicate that the U.S. economy expanded during the third quarter of 2018, as GDP, the value of all goods and services in the U.S., increased at an annualized rate of $3.4 \%$. This follows an annualized GDP increase of $4.2 \%$ recorded in the second quarter of 2018. The deceleration in real GDP growth in the third quarter reflected a downturn in exports and decelerations in nonresidential fixed investment in personal consumption expenditures. Imports, which are a subtraction in the calculation of GDP, increased in the third quarter after decreasing in the second, which was partly offset by an upturn in private inventory investment. ${ }^{(1)}$
- The number of transactions with sufficient disclosures for analysis increased 77\% year over year-from 404 in 2017 to 715 in 2018.
- Our initial screening generated a population of 2,428 transactions. Of these deals, 1,713 transactions were not considered for the following two reasons:
- Financial statements did not present intangible asset values and/or PC information in a clear, reconcilable format for our purposes.
- The general asset and liability segmentation was insufficient for us to determine the nature of the intangible assets acquired.
- The number of initial transactions increased 92\% year over year—from 1,266 in 2017 to 2,428 in 2018—because we incorporated data from multiple providers (S\&P Capital IQ, Bloomberg, and Dealogic) for the 2018 Study as compared to a single provider (S\&P Capital IQ) for the 2017 Study.
- The percentage of transactions with sufficient disclosures decreased to $29 \%$ in 2018 —from $32 \%$ in 2017.


## Industry Results

- Only one of the nine industries experienced year-over-year declines in the number of transactions available for the 2018 Study, relative to the 2017 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, 17 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the aerospace, defense, and government industry, down from 21 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Telecom had the largest percentage increase, up 19 transactions from the previous year.
- When measured across all industries, the median percentage of PC allocated to identifiable intangible assets slightly decreased-from $35 \%$ in 2017 to $33 \%$ in 2018. Four out of the nine industries showed only a small change (i.e., $5 \%$ or less) in the median amount of PC allocated to identifiable intangible assets compared with 2017.
- Energy recorded the largest percentage increase of $11 \%$ for PC allocated to identifiable intangible assets.
- Infrastructure services and materials recorded the largest percentage decrease out of all industries at 20\% for PC allocated to identifiable intangible assets.
- The median percentage of PC allocated to goodwill increased slightly to 41\% in 2018 from 40\% in 2017.
- Financial institutions represented the highest percentage increase in goodwill allocation at 24\% year over year.


## Industry Results (cont.)

Transaction Count
 Consideration


Purchase Consideration


Goodwill as Percentage of Purchase Consideration


## Transaction Size

- Approximately $66 \%$ of the transactions in the 2018 Study had PC below $\$ 250$ million, which remains the same when compared with the 66\% of 2017.
- In 2018, larger transactions generally recorded lower allocations to intangible assets and higher allocations to goodwill.
- For transactions with PC below $\$ 250$ million, intangible assets and goodwill averaged $37 \%$ and $38 \%$ of PC, respectively. In 2017, the corresponding percentages of allocation to intangible assets and goodwill were each at $38 \%$ and $37 \%$.
- For transactions with PC above $\$ 250$ million, intangible assets and goodwill averaged $32 \%$ and $40 \%$ of PC, respectively. In 2017, the corresponding percentages of allocation to intangible assets and goodwill were $29 \%$ and $38 \%$, respectively.
- From 2017 to 2018, the average transaction size decreased from $\$ 1,648$ million to $\$ 1,639$ million, and the median transaction size increased from $\$ 95$ million to $\$ 97$ million.


## Transaction Size (cont.)

## Transaction Count and Purchase Consideration



Intangible Assets as Percentage of Purchase Consideration


Tangible Assets as Percentage of Purchase Consideration


Goodwill as Percentage of Purchase Consideration


## Transaction Size (cont.)

## Summary Allocation Percentages by Size

2018 vs. 2017

| \$ in millions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Median Goodwill, \% of PC |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | \% Chg. |
| All Transactions | 715 | 404 | 41\% | 40\% | 3\% |
| PC > \$5,000 | 37 | 27 | 41\% | 40\% | 0\% |
| \$1,000 < PC < \$5,000 | 67 | 46 | 38\% | 34\% | 11\% |
| \$500 < PC < \$ 1,000 | 60 | 33 | 43\% | 40\% | 7\% |
| \$250 < PC < \$500 | 81 | 33 | 43\% | 42\% | 1\% |
| \$100 < PC < \$250 | 112 | 58 | 41\% | 41\% | 1\% |
| \$50<PC < \$100 | 90 | 41 | 36\% | 35\% | 1\% |
| PC $<\$ 50$ | 268 | 166 | 37\% | 36\% | 2\% |

## Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

- Indefinite-lived intangible assets accounted for approximately $17 \%$ of the total intangible asset value in 2018, as compared with $12 \%$ in 2017.
- Trademarks and trade names were the most common intangible assets to be considered indefinite-lived.
- In 2018, the percentage of transactions in the sample that ascribed PC to trademarks and trade names decreased from $65 \%$ to $63 \%$.
- Acquirers considered $22 \%$ of the purchased trademarks and trade names to be indefinite-lived assets in 2018, as compared with $22 \%$ in 2017 and 23\% in 2016.
- Other intangible assets classified as indefinite-lived included (but were not limited to) license agreements, franchise licenses, artisticrelated assets, and content/databases.

Trademark and Trade Name Lifing Classification 2016-2018

| Trademarks and Trade Names | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | \% of Total | Count | \% of Total | Count | \% of Total |
| All Indefinite-Lived | 99 | 22\% | 57 | 22\% | 52 | 23\% |
| All Definite-Lived | 352 | 78\% | 206 | 78\% | 178 | 77\% |
| Mix of Definite- and Indefinite-Lived | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 451 | 100\% | 263 | 100\% | 230 | 100\% |

## Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets (cont.)

- The following are noteworthy transactions with the largest portions of indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Top 10 Transactions by Dollar Allocation to Indefinite-Lived Assets 2018

| \$ in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Acquirer | Target | Purchase Consideration | Total \$ Amount of Indefinite-Lived Assets | Total \% Allocated to Indefinite-Lived Assets | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets | Primary IndefiniteLived Asset |
| 12/20/2018 | Cigna Corp. (NYSE:CI) | Express Scripts Holding Co. | \$94,775 | \$8,400 | 9\% | 43\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 10/11/2018 | Comcast Corp. <br> (NASDAQGS:CMCS.A) | Sky Ltd. | \$60,105 | \$6,800 | 11\% | 34\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 8/18/2018 | Walmart Inc. (NYSE:WMT) | Flipkart Private Ltd. | \$24,100 | \$4,700 | 20\% | 21\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 11/28/2018 | CVS Health Corp. (NYSE:CVS) | Aetna Inc. | \$111,253 | \$4,100 | 4\% | 21\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 4/24/2018 | General Mills, Inc. (NYSE:GIS) | Blue Buffalo Pet Products, Inc. | \$8,269 | \$2,700 | 33\% | 36\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 3/26/2018 | Campbell Soup Co. (NYSE:CPB) | Snyder's-Lance, Inc. | \$7,067 | \$2,131 | 30\% | 42\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 12/5/2018 | PepsiCo, Inc. (NASDAQGS:PEP) | SodaStream International Ltd. | \$3,250 | \$1,993 | 61\% | 75\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 11/26/2018 | United Technologies Corp. (NYSE:UTX) | Collins Aerospace | \$37,670 | \$1,870 | 5\% | 29\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 10/31/2018 | Linde plc (NYSE:LIN) | Linde AG | \$73,643 | \$1,648 | 2\% | 21\% | Trademark and Trade Name |
| 7/16/2018 | Caesars Entertainment Corp. (NASDAQGS:CZR) | Centaur Holdings, LLC | \$2,084 | \$1,390 | 67\% | 69\% | License Agreement |

## Frequently Identified Intangible Assets

- Developed technology, trademarks and trade names, IPR\&D, and customer-related assets were the most commonly identified intangible assets. Other intangible assets typically included, among others, noncompete agreements, licenses, permits, and other contracts or agreements.
- Developed technology and IPR\&D recorded increases in the frequency of identification from 2017 to 2018.
- With respect to the amount of PC allocated to each of these categories from 2017 to 2018, customer-related assets decreased while trademarks and trade names stayed flat.

Frequently Identified Intangible Assets

|  | Count, \% of Sample |  |  | Median \% of PC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Developed Technology | 54\% | 51\% | 49\% | 12\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Change | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | -1\% |
| IPR\&D | 9\% | 7\% | 12\% | 15\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Change | 1\% | -4\% | 2\% | 11\% | -2\% | -9\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 77\% | 79\% | 69\% | 16\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Change | -2\% | 10\% | 0\% | -3\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 63\% | 65\% | 49\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| Change | -2\% | 16\% | -1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## Aerospace, Defense, and Government

- In the 2018 Study, 17 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the aerospace, defense, and government (ADG) industry, down from 21 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the ADG industry, customer-related assets were allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset, with $18 \%$ and $16 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.
- The second highest percentage of PC allocated to any identifiable intangible asset in the ADG industry was developed technology, with $8 \%$ and $16 \%$ of PC allocated to these assets on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.


## Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (ADG)

 2018 Study|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 9 | 53\% | \$246 | \$5,730 | 2\% | 40\% | 8\% | 16\% |
| IPR\&D | 1 | 6\% | 11,477 | 11,477 | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 7 | 41\% | 246 | 5,690 | 1\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 16 | 94\% | 878 | 5,125 | 2\% | 33\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 2 | 12\% | NA | NA | 0\% | 1\% | NA | NA |
| Goodwill | 17 | 100\% | 872 | 4,824 | 25\% | 68\% | 44\% | 46\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:

| Date | Acquirer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Aerospace, Defense, and Government (cont.)

- As illustrated below, PC allocations to all intangible assets and goodwill changed by 5\% or less from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, goodwill continued to receive the highest allocation among any intangible asset, with $44 \%$ of PC within the ADG industry being allocated to goodwill on a median basis, which is up from 42\% in the 2017 Study.


## Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill

 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study

## Consumer, Food, and Retail

- In the 2018 Study, 166 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the CFR industry, up from 86 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- $8 \%$ and $14 \%$ of PC were allocated to trademarks and trade names on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.
- $15 \%$ and $17 \%$ of PC were allocated to customer-related assets on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.


## Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (CFR) 2018 Study

|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 61 | 37\% | \$78 | \$784 | 0\% | 99\% | 7\% | 16\% |
| IPR\&D | 4 | 2\% | 63 | 108 | 3\% | 26\% | 8\% | 11\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 122 | 74\% | 130 | 1,062 | 0\% | 100\% | 8\% | 14\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 122 | 74\% | 139 | 808 | 0\% | 69\% | 15\% | 17\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 82 | 50\% | 190 | 929 | 0\% | 85\% | 4\% | 11\% |
| Goodwill | 155 | 95\% | 125 | 929 | 0\% | 94\% | 37\% | 36\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:
$\$$ in millions

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/26/2018 | Campbell Soup Co. (NYSE:CPB) | Snyder's-Lance, Inc. | \$7,067 | 42\% |  |
| 4/24/2018 | General Mills, Inc. (NYSE:GIS) | Blue Buffalo Pet Products, Inc. | \$8,269 | 36\% |  |
| 8/18/2018 | Walmart Inc. (NYSE:WMT) | Flipkart Private Ltd. | \$24,100 | 21\% |  |
| 9/1/2018 | Marriott Vacations Worldwide Corp. (NYSE:VAC) | ILG, LLC | \$6,215 | 19\% |  |
| 10/15/2018 | Penn National Gaming, Inc. (NASDAQGS:PENN) | Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc. | \$6,800 | 20\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | HOULIHAN LOKEY | 29 |

## Consumer, Food, and Retail (cont.)

- As illustrated below, there have not been material changes (+/-5\% or more) in the allocation percentage to any particular intangible asset from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, goodwill continued to receive the highest allocation among any intangible asset, with $37 \%$ of PC within the CFR industry being allocated to goodwill on a median basis, which is up from 33\% in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Energy

- In the 2018 Study, 24 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the energy industry, which is up from 19 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the energy industry, IPR\&D was allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset, with $24 \%$ and $24 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.

Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (Energy) 2018 Study

|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 5 | 21\% | \$48 | \$12,383 | 0\% | 64\% | 9\% | 21\% |
| IPR\&D | 2 | 8\% | 491 | 491 | 2\% | 46\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 12 | 50\% | 119 | 4,051 | 1\% | 14\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 20 | 83\% | 125 | 5,665 | 1\% | 59\% | 15\% | 20\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 6 | 25\% | 120 | 10,267 | 0\% | 62\% | 2\% | 12\% |
| Goodwill | 22 | 92\% | 90 | 5,149 | 2\% | 64\% | 25\% | 29\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/5/2018 | Itron Inc. | Itron Networked Solutions Inc. | \$935 | 26\% |
| 4/2/2018 | USA Compression Partners LP | CDM Resource Management LLC, CDM Environmental \& Technical Services LLC | \$2,721 | 8\% |
| 6/6/2018 | National Energy Services Reunited Corp. (NASDAQCM:NESR) | National Petroleum Services Co. KSCC | \$895 | 10\% |
| 10/1/2018 | Marathon Petroleum Corp. (NYSE:MPC) | Andeavor | \$45,518 | 6\% |
| 12/17/2018 | Enbridge Inc. (TSX:ENB) | Spectra Energy Partners, LP | \$60,889 | 2\% |

Energy (cont.)

- As illustrated below, PC allocations to IPR\&D and goodwill changed by $5 \%$ or more from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study.
- Goodwill had the largest allocation of PC, with $25 \%$ of PC allocated to goodwill in the 2018 Study, which is down from $30 \%$ in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Financial Institutions

- In the 2018 Study, 44 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the financial institutions industry, which is up from 21 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the financial institutions industry, customer-related assets were allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset included in the 2018 Study, with $16 \%$ and $23 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.

Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (Financial Institutions) 2018 Study

|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 15 | 34\% | \$663 | \$3,246 | 0\% | 100\% | 3\% | 10\% |
| IPR\&D | 0 | 0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 25 | 57\% | 336 | 1,710 | 0\% | 69\% | 2\% | 7\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 38 | 86\% | 98 | 1,466 | 0\% | 78\% | 16\% | 23\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 21 | 48\% | 373 | 1,277 | 0\% | 48\% | 2\% | 8\% |
| Goodwill | 41 | 93\% | 145 | 1,456 | 0\% | 77\% | 35\% | 34\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/31/2018 | Assurant, Inc. (NYSE:AIZ) | The Warranty Group, Inc. | \$11,270 | 4\% |
| 7/2/2018 | Centene Corp. (NYSE:CNC) | The New York State Catholic Health Plan, Inc. | \$5,635 | 16\% |
| 7/31/2018 | Mr. Cooper Group Inc. (NASDAQCM:COOP) | Nationstar Mortgage Holdings Inc. | \$16,957 | 1\% |
| 9/1/2018 | WellCare Health Plans Inc. | Meridian Health Plan of Michigan Inc, Meridianrx LLC, Meridian Health Plan of Illinois, Inc. | \$3,394 | 18\% |
| 11/2/2018 | CME Group Inc. (NASDAQGS:CME) | NEX Group Ltd. | \$7,330 | 45\% |

Financial Institutions (cont.)

- As illustrated below, goodwill experienced a material change (+/-5\% or more) in the allocation of PC from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study, with PC allocation increasing from 11\% in the 2017 Study to $35 \%$ in the 2018 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, developed technology was allocated 3\% of PC on a median basis, which is up from 1\% in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Healthcare

- In the 2018 Study, 119 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the healthcare industry, which is up from 62 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the healthcare industry, IPR\&D was allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset, with $45 \%$ and $49 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.
- The second highest percentage of PC allocated to any identifiable intangible asset in the healthcare industry was developed technology, with $26 \%$ and $30 \%$ of PC allocated to these assets on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.

Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (Healthcare)
2018 Study

|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 65 | 56\% | \$141 | \$3,726 | 0\% | 100\% | 26\% | 30\% |
| IPR\&D | 35 | 30\% | 141 | 681 | 0\% | 100\% | 45\% | 49\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 62 | 53\% | 106 | 3,744 | 0\% | 100\% | 2\% | 9\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 69 | 59\% | 111 | 3,400 | 1\% | 47\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 30 | 26\% | 42 | 4,122 | 0\% | 43\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Goodwill | 98 | 84\% | 142 | 2,647 | 1\% | 87\% | 42\% | 42\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/6/2018 | Celgene Corp. (NASDAQGS:CELG) | Juno Therapeutics, Inc. | \$12,005 | 69\% |
| 3/28/2018 | Walgreens Boots Alliance Inc. | Stores and distribution centers/Rite Aid Corp. | \$5,344 | 38\% |
| 11/28/2018 | CVS Health Corp. (NYSE:CVS) | Aetna Inc. | \$111,253 | 21\% |
| 11/30/2018 | Procter \& Gamble Co. | Merck KGaA (Consumer healthcare business, 100\%) | \$4,964 | 43\% |
| 12/20/2018 | Cigna Corp. (NYSE:CI) | Express Scripts Holding Co. | \$94,775 | 43\% |

## Healthcare (cont.)

- As illustrated below, PC allocation to developed technology and IPR\&D changed by more than 5\% from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study within the healthcare industry.
- In the 2018 Study, IPR\&D received the highest allocation of PC among any intangible asset, with 45\% of PC being allocated to IPR\&D on a median basis, which is up from $27 \%$ in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill
2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Industrials

- In the 2018 Study, 100 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the industrials industry, which is up from 54 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the industrials industry, customer-related assets were allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset, with $16 \%$ and $19 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis.
- The second highest percentage of PC allocated to any identifiable intangible asset in the industrials industry was developed technology, with $7 \%$ and $13 \%$ of PC allocated to these assets on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.

Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (Industrials)
2018 Study


- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/10/2018 | McDermott International, Inc. (NYSE:MDR) | Chicago Bridge \& Iron Company NV | \$9,374 | 11\% |
| 10/1/2018 | Tenneco Inc. (NYSE:TEN) | Federal-Mogul LLC | \$8,209 | 19\% |
| 10/4/2018 | International Flavors \& Fragrances Inc. (NYSE:IFF) | Frutarom Industries Ltd. | \$8,153 | 33\% |
| 10/11/2018 | Comcast Corp. (NASDAQGS:CMCS.A) | Sky Ltd. | \$60,105 | 34\% |
| 10/31/2018 | Linde plc (NYSE:LIN) | Linde AG | \$73,643 | 21\% |

## Industrials (cont.)

- As illustrated below, PC allocations to developed technology, IPR\&D, customer-related assets, and goodwill all changed by $5 \%$ or more from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, goodwill received the highest allocation of PC among any intangible asset. As previously mentioned, this is up to 39\% from 34\% in the 2017 study.
- Customer-related assets received the second highest allocation of PC, with $16 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median basis, which is down from $25 \%$ in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Infrastructure Services and Materials

- In the 2018 Study, 24 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the infrastructure services and materials industry, which is up from 18 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the infrastructure services and materials industry, customer-related assets were allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset, with $13 \%$ and $17 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.
- The second highest percentage of PC allocated to any identifiable intangible asset in the infrastructure services and materials industry was developed technology, with $3 \%$ and $3 \%$ of PC allocated to these assets on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.

Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (ISM) 2018 Study

| Developed Technology | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
|  | 8 | 33\% | \$301 | \$3,904 | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| IPR\&D | 0 | 0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 20 | 83\% | 367 | 1,805 | 0\% | 19\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 22 | 92\% | 342 | 1,606 | 2\% | 56\% | 13\% | 17\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 10 | 42\% | 508 | 3,343 | 0\% | 6\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Goodwill | 24 | 100\% | 342 | 1,528 | 2\% | 61\% | 25\% | 26\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1/2018 | Nutrien Ltd. (TSX:NTR) | Agrium Inc. | \$29,306 | 8\% |
| 8/15/2018 | WillScot Corp. | Modular Space Corp. (100\%) | \$1,292 | 1\% |
| 4/3/2018 | James Hardie Industries plc (ASX:JHX) | XI (DL) Holdings GmbH | \$802 | 23\% |
| 6/4/2018 | GMS Inc. | WSB Titan Inc. | \$677 | 42\% |
| 3/9/2018 | Masco Corp. | Clare Sky Inc. | \$608 | 39\% |

## Infrastructure Services and Materials (cont.)

- As illustrated below, PC allocation to developed technology, customer-related assets, and goodwill were the only assets to change by more than $5 \%$ from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study within the infrastructure services and materials industry.
- In the 2018 Study, goodwill received the highest allocation of PC among any intangible asset within the infrastructure services and materials industry, with $25 \%$ of PC being allocated to goodwill, which is down from $37 \%$ in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill
2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Technology

- In the 2018 Study, 196 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the technology industry, which is up from 117 transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the technology industry, developed technology assets were allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset (based on the mean), with $16 \%$ and $20 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.
- The second highest percentage of PC allocated to any identifiable intangible asset in the technology industry was customer-related assets, with $15 \%$ and $19 \%$ of PC allocated to these assets on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.


## Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (Technology) 2018 Study

|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 159 | 81\% | \$64 | \$548 | 0\% | 100\% | 16\% | 20\% |
| IPR\&D | 12 | 6\% | 362 | 2,986 | 1\% | 81\% | 5\% | 16\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 118 | 60\% | 100 | 1,885 | 0\% | 41\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 156 | 80\% | 82 | 685 | 0\% | 98\% | 15\% | 19\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 54 | 28\% | 75 | 3,360 | 0\% | 45\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Goodwill | 191 | 97\% | 60 | 1,256 | 4\% | 96\% | 51\% | 51\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following:


## \$ in millions

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Intangible Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/6/2018 | Discovery, Inc. (NASDAQGS:DISC.A) | Scripps Networks Interactive, Inc. | \$18,721 | 49\% |
| 4/16/2018 | SS\&C Technologies Holdings, Inc. (NASDAQGS:SSNC) | DST Systems, Inc. | \$7,040 | 37\% |
| 6/14/2018 | AT\&T Inc. (NYSE:T) | Warner Media, LLC | \$129,458 | 44\% |
| 7/6/2018 | Marvell Technology Group Ltd. (NASDAQGS:MRVL) | Cavium, Inc. | \$7,094 | 39\% |
| 11/5/2018 | Broadcom Inc. (NASDAQGS:AVGO) | CA, Inc. | \$23,708 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { HOULIHAN LOKET } \end{gathered}$ |

## Technology (cont.)

- As illustrated below, there have not been any material changes (+/-5\% or more) in the allocation percentage to any particular intangible asset from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, goodwill received the highest allocation of PC among any intangible asset within the technology industry, with $51 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset, which is up from $49 \%$ in the 2017 Study.
- Developed technology received the second highest allocation of PC among intangible assets, with 16\% of PC being allocated to this asset on a median basis, which is up from 15\% in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Telecom

- In the 2018 Study, 25 observed transactions allocated PC to intangible assets or goodwill within the telecom industry, which is up from six transactions in the 2017 Study.
- Within the telecom industry, customer-related assets were allocated the highest percentage of PC to any identifiable intangible asset, with $18 \%$ and $20 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset on a median-and-mean basis, respectively.
- The second highest percentage of PC allocated to any identifiable intangible asset in the telecom industry was developed technology assets, with $11 \%$ and $17 \%$ of PC allocated to these assets on a median-and-mean basis.


## Summary of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets (Telecom)

 2018 Study|  | Count |  | PC |  | \% of PC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Median | Mean | Low | High | Median | Mean |
| Developed Technology | 15 | 60\% | \$258 | \$1,182 | 1\% | 87\% | 11\% | 17\% |
| IPR\&D | 3 | 12\% | 919 | 1,111 | 2\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Trademarks and Trade Names | 16 | 64\% | 186 | 785 | 0\% | 24\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Customer-Related Assets | 23 | 92\% | 137 | 967 | 0\% | 24\% | 18\% | 20\% |
| Other Identifiable Intangible Assets | 11 | 44\% | 258 | 1,554 | 0\% | 11\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| Goodwill | 24 | 96\% | 186 | 941 | 1\% | 77\% | 34\% | 36\% |

- Notable transaction activity in this industry included the following: \$ in millions

| Date | Acquirer | Target | PC | Total \% Allocated to Intangible Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/31/2018 | GTT Communications Inc | Interoute Communications Ltd. | \$2,930 | 6\% |  |
| 10/25/2018 | Microsoft Corp. (NASDAQGS:MSFT) | GitHub, Inc. | \$7,138 | 18\% |  |
| 11/5/2018 | Tele2 AB (publ) (OM:TEL2 B) | Com Hem Holding AB (publ) | \$4,827 | 35\% |  |
| 11/27/2018 | AMETEK, Inc. (NYSE:AME) | Spectro Scientific, Inc. | \$1,207 | 43\% |  |
| 12/10/2018 | Lumentum Holdings Inc. (NASDAQGS:LITE) | Oclaro, Inc. | \$1,599 | 28\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | HOULIHAN LOKEY | 43 |

## Telecom (cont.)

- As illustrated below, there have not been any material changes (+/-5\% or more) in the allocation percentage to any particular intangible asset from the 2017 Study to the 2018 Study.
- In the 2018 Study, goodwill received the highest allocation of PC among any intangible asset within the telecom industry, with $34 \%$ of PC being allocated to this asset, which is down from 40\% in the 2017 Study.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Intangible Assets and Goodwill 2018 Study vs. 2017 Study


## Goodwill

- In the 2018 Study, 665 transactions ( $93 \%$ ) allocated PC to goodwill. As in previous years, transactions with negative goodwill (i.e., bargain purchases) were excluded from the study.
- The median and mean allocations of PC to goodwill were $41 \%$ in 2018.
- As illustrated below, 511 deals ( $72 \%$ ) allocated $25 \%$ or more of PC to goodwill.

Distribution of PC Allocated to Goodwill
2018 Study vs. 2017 study
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[^0]:    (1) We incorporated data from multiple providers (S\&P Capital IQ, Bloomberg, and Dealogic) for the 2018 Study as compared to a single provider (S\&P Capital IQ) for the 2017 Study. Note: Purchase consideration represents the equivalent to total assets, including equity, debt, and noninterest-bearing liabilities assumed, as applicable. Includes transactions done by U. S. -listed public company acquirers completed in 2018.

